

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x Re-upload review version x terjemahan - Penelusuran x

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxGDWwBVQNMcmkP8FwRqKvFPQCW

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

Dear Mrs. Rini Sovia,

We confirm that you has successfully upload your paper #1570632712 entitled: Analysis of Sales Levels of Pharmaceutical Products by Using Data Mining Algorithm C45 for review in the 2020 1st Conference on Internet of Things and Embedded Intelligence. But, we give you an opportunity within 5 days to re-upload your review paper version. A high quality paper MUST has:

- A clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing --> explain in "Introduction" section
- The proposed solution(s)/method(s)/approach(es)/framework(s)/algorithm(s)
- Results achieved. It describes clearly what has been done before on the problem, and what is new.

The goal of this stage is to give you an opportunity to describe novel technical results.

There are four (4) types of technical results:

1. A theory: consisting of a collection of theorems.
2. An algorithm/method/approach/framework/ ;
3. A system construct: such as hardware design, software system, protocol, etc.;

The main goal of your revised paper is to ensure that the next person who designs a system like yours doesn't make the same mistakes and takes advantage of some of your best solutions. So make sure that the hard problems (and their solutions) are discussed and the non-obvious mistakes (and how to avoid them) are discussed.

4. A performance evaluation: obtained through analyses, simulation or measurements;

Your cooperation is very appreciated

Sincerely yours,
Asscn: Prof. Tri Sutikno Ph.D

79°F Mostly cloudy 10:24 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x Re-upload review version x terjemahan - Penelusuran x

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMGHzLsF5CpScbWwBCZwbJgk8

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

Re-upload review version 1570636682 is possible Eksternal Kotak Masuk x

lppi=uad.ac.id@edas.info Min, 8 Mar 2020, 00:24

kepada saya, Abulwafa, Syafr, Guslendra, Sarjon, Watra, Ahmad, Alfian, Hendril, Phisca, Phisca, Deris, Arsyad, Imam, Tole, TH, Tri, Nuryono, Ni

Inggris > Indonesia Terjemahkan pesan Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris x

Dear Mrs. Rini Sovia,

We confirm that you has successfully upload your paper #1570636682 entitled: Analysis of Sales Levels of Pharmaceutical Products by Using Data Mining Algorithm C45 for review in the 2020 1st Conference on Internet of Things and Embedded Intelligence. But, we give you an opportunity within 5 days to re-upload your review paper version. A high quality paper MUST has:

- A clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing --> explain in "Introduction" section
- The proposed solution(s)/method(s)/approach(es)/framework(s)/algorithm(s)
- Results achieved. It describes clearly what has been done before on the problem, and what is new.

The goal of this stage is to give you an opportunity to describe novel technical results.

There are four (4) types of technical results:

1. A theory: consisting of a collection of theorems.
2. An algorithm/method/approach/framework/ ;
3. A system construct: such as hardware design, software system, protocol, etc.;

79°F Mostly cloudy 10:25 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x Re-upload review version x terjemahan - Penelusuran x

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMGHzLsFSCpScbWwBCZwbJgkB

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

6 dari 1.528

We confirm that you has successfully upload your paper #1570636682 entitled: Analysis of Sales Levels of Pharmaceutical Products by Using Data Mining Algorithm C45 for review in the 2020 1st Conference on Internet of Things and Embedded Intelligence. But, we give you an opportunity within 5 days to re-upload your review paper version. A high quality paper MUST has:

- A clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing --> explain in "Introduction" section
- The proposed solution(s)/method(s)/approach(es)/framework(s)/algorithm(s)
- Results achieved. It describes clearly what has been done before on the problem, and what is new.

The goal of this stage is to give you an opportunity to describe novel technical results.

There are four (4) types of technical results:

1. A theory: consisting of a collection of theorems.
2. An algorithm/method/approach/framework/ ;
3. A system construct: such as hardware design, software system, protocol, etc.;

The main goal of your revised paper is to ensure that the next person who designs a system like yours doesn't make the same mistakes and takes advantage of some of your best solutions. So make sure that the hard problems (and their solutions) are discussed and the non-obvious mistakes (and how to avoid them) are discussed.

4. A performance evaluation: obtained through analyses, simulation or measurements;

Your cooperation is very appreciated

Sincerely yours,
 Assoc. Prof. Tole Sutikno, Ph.D.
 General Chair, CITEI 2020

79°F Mostly cloudy

Search

ENG 10:25 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification x terjemahan - Penelusuran x

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

5 dari 1.528

[CITEI 2020] Notification for paper #1570636682 ('Analysis of Sales Levels of Pharmaceutical Products by Using Data Mining Algorithm C45')

Eksternal Kotak Masuk x

lppi=uad.ac.id@edas.info

Kam, 23 Apr 2020, 23.58

kepada saya, Abulwafa, Syafr, Guslendra, Sarjon, ts

Announcement! The organizing committee is aware that some participants are now facing travel restrictions and may have to cancel their schedule because of COVID-19.

Submit your camera ready paper strictly adhere the guide of authors (<http://bit.ly/template-citei2020>) before May 25, 2020

Dear Mrs. ,

It is my great pleasure to inform you that your paper entitled #1570636682 ('Analysis of Sales Levels of Pharmaceutical Products by Using Data Mining Algorithm C45') for 2020 1st Conference on Internet of Things and Embedded Intelligence (CITEI 2020) has been **ACCEPTED** for the CITEI 2020, which is planned to be held in Yogyakarta, Indonesia, between July 29th and 30th, 2020. Congratulations!!

79°F Mostly cloudy

Search

ENG 10:25 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification | x G terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf** 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

5 dari 1.528

Due to Coronavirus (COVID-19) in many countries, CITEI committee have make a decision that your attending for direct oral presentation is not required. We hope that we can attend and meet for discussion by oral presentation in future event (in the 2nd CITEI 2021). However, you should prepare a video presentation for your paper, and upload it to YouTube (or other sites), and inform us your video link. State in your video that it is your presentation for "2020 1st Conference on Internet of Things and Embedded Intelligence (CITEI 2020)"

Then, your paper will be selected for possible publication in one of the Scopus indexed journals below: – Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (JEECS) – IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI) – International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (JERE) (further review and minor revisions may be required)

Just for your information, we received many paper submissions, which are all peer-reviewed by vetted PC members and only high quality papers will be published. Please address the reviewers comments, appended below, and submit your camera ready paper adhere the guide of authors (<http://bit.ly/template-citei2020>) before May 25, 2020. The goal of your camera ready paper is to describe NOVEL TECHNICAL RESULTS. We will usually expect a minimum of 25 and 50 references (primarily to journal papers) for research/original and review paper respectively. Citations of textbooks should be used very rarely and citations to web pages should be avoided. All cited papers should be referenced within the body text of the manuscript. Failing to do proper preparing final camera ready paper may lead to the rejection of your paper (If authors have paid publication fee, we will return it).

If you reached problems for uploading your final camera ready paper through EDAS, please submit it to email: jieecs.iaes@gmail.com, cc: jjai@iaesjournal.com

I look forward to hearing form you

Best Regards,
Assoc. Prof. Tole Sutikno, Ph.D.

79°F Mostly cloudy 10:26 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification | x G terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf** 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

5 dari 1.528

I look forward to hearing form you

Best Regards,
Assoc. Prof. Tole Sutikno, Ph.D.
General Chair, CITEI 2020 Editor-in-Chief/Managing Editor/Principle Contact:

- Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (Scopus indexed journal)
- IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (Scopus indexed journal)

The reviews are below or can be found at <https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570636682>.

Full paper review 1

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Excellent (5)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Solid work of notable importance. (4)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

79°F Mostly cloudy 10:26 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification | x terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

99+ Mail **Tulis**

Mail **Kotak Masuk** 873

Chat Berbintang

Spaces Ditunda

Meet Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

5 dari 1.528

Solid work of notable importance. (4)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Significant original work and novel results. (4)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Well written. (4)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

1-The paper is very importance and timeliness of the topic addressed within its area of research.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

1-In abstract "This C45 algorithm will form a decision tree that provides users with knowledge about products that are in great demand by consumers based on sales data and predetermined variables.".My question is where are you have a sales data and predetermined variables? 2-What exactly is the novelty of your algorithm?

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

1-the pictures have a low quality in resolution 2-give more details about Algorithm C45 in research method 3-Fig. 2 needs more explanation how

79°F Mostly cloudy Search ENG 10:27 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification | x terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

99+ Mail **Tulis**

Mail **Kotak Masuk** 873

Chat Berbintang

Spaces Ditunda

Meet Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

5 dari 1.528

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

1-the pictures have a low quality in resolution 2-give more details about Algorithm C45 in research method 3-Fig. 2 needs more explanation how it is related to your research 4-The authors are advised to revise the conclusion part highlighting the main outcomes of the study more specifically. 5-The English and the grammatical of the paper requires major revisions.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

accept

Full paper review 2

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Good (4)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Excellent work and outstanding technical content. (5)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

79°F Mostly cloudy Search ENG 10:27 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification | x G terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

5 dari 1.528

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

A pioneering piece of work. Striking novel ideas or results. (5)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Well written. (4)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The paper contribute to existing knowledge about classification and prediction using C45 algorithm

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

Need to improve LR, need to improve results and discussion by adding more critical discussion based on the past literature studies.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

This paper has knowledge in the data mining field. However, some things need to be improved.

1. The method part needs to be refined as clearly describing each process.
2. Each process in the Flow chart needs to be reviewed according to its order.
3. Each parameter needs to be defined.
4. Result and discussion Section should be analyzed, compared and explained why for each result obtained. Not just a report.

79°F Mostly cloudy Search ENG 10:27 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification | x G terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

5 dari 1.528

4. Result and discussion Section should be analyzed, compared and explained why for each result obtained. Not just a report.
5. Conclusions - need to highlight the advantages and weaknesses of the proposed method and future work.

At the end of the abstract just provide a line about what has been achieved in terms of results. therefore need to add more about final result

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

the paper in word documents, overall the paper is good

Full paper review 3

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Acceptable (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

79°F Mostly cloudy Search ENG 10:27 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification | x G terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

5 dari 1.528

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

Implementation of an data mining algorithm on a given dataset.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

1. Data source not validated
2. Data description not sufficient.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

Justification of selecting the Decision Tree algorithm

Justification of using the WEKA research tool

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

yes

79°F Mostly cloudy Search ENG 10:28 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification | x G terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

5 dari 1.528

PDF file and EDAS registration?

yes

Full paper review 4

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Little (2)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (2)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Substantial revision work is needed. (2)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

79°F Mostly cloudy Search ENG 10:28 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp | ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google | Akun Google | [CITEI 2020] Notification | terjemahan - Penelusuran

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail | Telusuri dalam email | Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

5 dari 1.528

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The author of this paper can clearly state the problem and solution properly with good clarity.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

I do not consider this paper as an excellent work for the following reasons.

1. On page 4, "The classification process for each Sales table field" seems arbitrary to me. For example "Classification of Medicine Amounts", why did the author choose 1200 as a threshold? The conclusion of the model actually depend on how this classification is done.
2. The is no test data, and therefore, how can the author demonstrate the model trained in this paper is good enough?
3. The value of this paper seems minimal to me. Whether a medicine is in demand or not depend on a lot of factors, and the author only considered 4 factors in the model and only 20 different medicine. The result will not have much practical meaning.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

Please address the issues I listed in weak aspects.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

yes

79°F Mostly cloudy | Search | ENG | 10:28 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp | ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google | Akun Google | [CITEI 2020] Notification | terjemahan - Penelusuran

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail | Telusuri dalam email | Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

5 dari 1.528

Full paper review 5

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Good (4)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Significant original work and novel results. (4)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The paper describes well the use of the data mining algorithm C4.5 for the analysis of pharmaceutical products.

79°F Mostly cloudy | Search | ENG | 10:29 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification | x G terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmHcjxsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The paper describes well the use of the data mining algorithm C4.5 for the analysis of pharmaceutical products.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

It was not clear how the related papers mentioned on the Introduction could be directed compared with the pharmaceutical products sales problem of this paper. The related papers presented should be more related data mining techniques applied to sales of pharmaceutical products.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

Using the same name for the algorithm in all paper. Not using C45 in some parts and C4.5 others. I recommend using the name C4.5.

I did not understand the sentence "[17] Maulani Kapiudin Head of System Planning Section STEJLI. Teak wood No. 11 A Rawamangun East Jakarta" in the last paragraph from Introduction.

For future works, the authors could evaluate how effective were the C4.5 algorithm, the Entropy and the Gain search used.

This paragraph is confusing, please rewrite it: "Decision tree has two types of attribute data consisting of several input attributes of the target attribute and of course supporting the existing problem, its function as a comparison in calculating Gain and Ratio. In the training data set the sample size and must at least one target attribute whose value is a temporary conclusion of the problem of each instance (record), in this study the value of the target attribute is: bestsellers and less in demand."

When referring to the attribute value, use quotation marks. For example: "many" and "few". Also, do not change between "few" and "a few".

79°F Mostly cloudy Search ENG 10:29 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification | x G terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmHcjxsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

the value of the target attribute is: bestsellers and less in demand."

When referring to the attribute values, use quotation marks. For example: "many" and "few". Also, do not change between "few" and "a few".

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

Yes.

Full paper review 6

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Acceptable (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

79°F Mostly cloudy Search ENG 10:30 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification | x G terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmJHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

5 dari 1.528

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

Clearly written.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

There is an abundance of tables which would be easier to read if there was more highlighting of key findings. What exactly does this research add that was not available before?

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

Picture 2, 3, and 5 are difficult to read.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

79°F Mostly cloudy 10:30 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification | x G terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmJHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

5 dari 1.528

Full paper review 7

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Little (2)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (2)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Substantial revision work is needed. (2)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The process of knowledge discovery in database is describe well, should have done similar for C4.5.

79°F Mostly cloudy 10:30 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification | x G terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

99+ Mail **Tulis**

Mail **Kotak Masuk** 873

Chat Berbintang

Spaces Ditunda

Meet Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

79°F Mostly cloudy Search ENG 10:30 PM 6/15/2023

The process of knowledge discovery in database is describe well, should have done similar for C4.5.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

In a paper, there should be clear objective as to what you want to achieve and then you show the results whether you achieved that or not. In between, the process/method you use to achieve that, and what specific is your contribution to the research area. I find all these pieces missing in the paper. There should have been a brief explanation of C4.5 algorithm. Why you chose that algorithm? If it is used, there should have been more elaborate training data, missing attribute values etc to demonstrate true power of C4.5. What are the drawbacks of this method/algo and what you plan to do in future research to address those?

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

The presentation is very weak. Should do spell check, and have proper formatting. It is very hard to read the paper and the figures are also not coming in properly. Should have exported to Pdf at least.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

Yes

Full paper review 8

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification | x G terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

99+ Mail **Tulis**

Mail **Kotak Masuk** 873

Chat Berbintang

Spaces Ditunda

Meet Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

79°F Mostly cloudy Search ENG 10:31 PM 6/15/2023

Yes

Full paper review 8

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Acceptable (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (2)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Substantial revision work is needed. (2)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

C4.5 data mining algorithm uses

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification x G terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

5 dari 1.528

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

C45 data mining algorithm usage

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

Decision tree results

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

review results

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

yes

Full paper review 9

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Little (2)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g., completeness of the analysis or simulation study

79°F Mostly cloudy Search ENG 10:31 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google S x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification x G terjemahan - Penelusuran x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

5 dari 1.528

thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (2)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Unacceptable. (1)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

I was able to see only two of its pages (?). The quality of text and figures was so bad. I recommend returning this manuscript to the authors and ask for providing us with the standard version.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

I was able to see only two of its pages (?). The quality of text and figures was so bad. I recommend returning this manuscript to the authors and ask for providing us with the standard version.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

79°F Mostly cloudy Search ENG 10:31 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp | ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google | Akun Google | [CITEI 2020] Notification | terjemahan - Penelusuran

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail | Telusuri dalam email | Aktif

99+ Mail | Tulis | Kotak Masuk 873 | Berbintang | Ditunda | Terkirim | Draf 6 | Selengkapnya | Label +

79°F Mostly cloudy | Search | ENG | 10:31 PM 6/15/2023

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

I was able to see only two of its pages (?). The quality of text and figures was so bad. I recommend returning this manuscript to the authors and ask for providing us with the standard version.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

I was able to see only two of its pages (?). The quality of text and figures was so bad. I recommend returning this manuscript to the authors and ask for providing us with the standard version.

Full paper review 10

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Acceptable (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

WhatsApp | ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google | Akun Google | [CITEI 2020] Notification | terjemahan - Penelusuran

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmHcjXsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail | Telusuri dalam email | Aktif

99+ Mail | Tulis | Kotak Masuk 873 | Berbintang | Ditunda | Terkirim | Draf 6 | Selengkapnya | Label +

79°F Mostly cloudy | Search | ENG | 10:32 PM 6/15/2023

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

Paper is well written. Plagiarism or Similarity Index should be below 10. References should be in a consistent style and above 15 at least. Equation Editor should be used for writing Equations. Graphs should be sketched using Graph Tool. The Data linked with Graph should be shown as Table also. Figures should be sketched rather inclusion of Photographs. Grammar and Spelling check should be executed. Follow the Formatting standards of Template exactly. Technically this paper is Acceptable after the above corrections.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

Paper is well written. Plagiarism or Similarity Index should be below 10. References should be in a consistent style and above 15 at least. Equation Editor should be used for writing Equations. Graphs should be sketched using Graph Tool. The Data linked with Graph should be shown as Table also. Figures should be sketched rather inclusion of Photographs. Grammar and Spelling check should be executed. Follow the Formatting standards of Template exactly. Technically this paper is Acceptable after the above corrections.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

WhatsApp | ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google | Akun Google | [CITEI 2020] Notification | terjemahan - Penelusuran

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmJHcJjxsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail | Telusuri dalam email | Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

as Table also. Figures should be sketched rather inclusion of Photographs. Grammar and Spelling check should be executed. Follow the Formatting standards of Template exactly. Technically this paper is Acceptable after the above corrections.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

Paper is well written. Plagiarism or Similarity Index should be below 10. References should be in a consistent style and above 15 at least. Equation Editor should be used for writing Equations. Graphs should be sketched using Graph Tool. The Data linked with Graph should be shown as Table also. Figures should be sketched rather inclusion of Photographs. Grammar and Spelling check should be executed. Follow the Formatting standards of Template exactly. Technically this paper is Acceptable after the above corrections.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

Paper is well written. Plagiarism or Similarity Index should be below 10. References should be in a consistent style and above 15 at least. Equation Editor should be used for writing Equations. Graphs should be sketched using Graph Tool. The Data linked with Graph should be shown as Table also. Figures should be sketched rather inclusion of Photographs. Grammar and Spelling check should be executed. Follow the Formatting standards of Template exactly. Technically this paper is Acceptable after the above corrections.

IMPORTANT!! Please note that:

- A high quality paper MUST has:
 - a clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing;

79°F Mostly cloudy | Search | ENG | 10:32 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp | ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google Spread | Akun Google | [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper |

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsWPqMjmJHcJjxsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail | Telusuri dalam email | Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

IMPORTANT!! Please note that:

- A high quality paper MUST has:
 - a clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing;
 - the proposed solution(s); and
 - results achieved.
- The paper title summarizes the main idea or ideas of your study (title is "summary" and "essence" of your paper). Title should be "encompassing" as well as "descriptive". A good title contains the fewest possible words needed to adequately describe the content and/or purpose of your research paper. Rarely use abbreviations or acronyms unless they are commonly known.
- For ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER type (should be presented with IMRaD model), there are four (4) types of novel technical results:
 - An algorithm;
 - A system construct: such as hardware design, software system, protocol, etc.; The main goal of your revised paper is to ensure that the next person who designs a system like yours doesn't make the same mistakes and takes advantage of some of your best solutions. So make sure that the hard problems (and their solutions) are discussed and the non-obvious mistakes (and how to avoid them) are discussed;
 - A performance evaluation: obtained through analyses, simulation or measurements; or
 - A theory: consisting of a collection of theorems.

Your revised paper should focus on:

- Describing the results in sufficient details to establish their validity:

79°F Mostly cloudy | Search | ENG | 10:33 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google Spreadi x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMsWPqMjmHcjxsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

3. re-evaluating the significance of the results, what improvements and impact do they suggest. Number of minimum references for original research paper is 25 references (included minimum 20 recently journal articles within 5 years).

- For REVIEW PAPER type, the paper should present a critical and constructive analysis of existing published literature in a field, through summary, classification, analysis and comparison. The function and goal of the review paper is:
 - to organize literature;
 - to evaluate literature;
 - to identify patterns and trends in the literature;
 - to synthesize literature; or
 - to identify research gaps and recommend new research areas. The structure of a review paper includes:
 - Title – in this case does not indicate that it is a review article.
 - Abstract – includes a description of subjects covered.
 - Introduction includes a description of context (paragraph 1-3), motivation for review (paragraph 4, sentence 1) and defines the focus (paragraph 4, sentences 2-3)
 - Body – structured by headings and subheadings
 - Conclusion – states the implications of the findings and identifies possible new research fields

References ("Literature Review") – organised by number in the order they were cited in the text. Number of minimum references for review paper is 50 references (included minimum 40 recent journal articles).

In order to cover part of the publication cost, each accepted paper is charged: USD 265. This charge is for the first 8 pages, and if any published manuscript over 8 pages will incur extra charges USD45 per page

79°F Mostly cloudy

Search

ENG 10:33 PM 6/15/2023

WhatsApp x ELFITRA_7.xlsx - Google Spreadi x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMsWPqMjmHcjxsbXbGWzDfp

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

Bank Account name (please be exact)/Beneficiary: TOLE SUTIKNO
Bank Name: Bank Negara Indonesia (BNI) Branch Office: UGM
Yogya, KCP SARJANA WIYATA City: Yogyakarta Country: Indonesia
Bank Account # : 0469076067 SWIFT Code: BNINIDJAXX or as an alternative, you can pay it by using PayPal to email:
tole@ee.uad.ac.id

Additional Information for Bank Account

Bank's detailed address : BNI SARJANA WIYATA Jl. Kusumanegara No.121, Muja Muju, Umbulharjo City: Yogyakarta Province: D.I. Yogyakarta (DIY) Country :Indonesia Post Code: 55167 Indonesia

The Name & Beneficiary's address: Name of Beneficiary: TOLE SUTIKNO Address: LPPI, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan Kampus 4, Jln. Ringroad Selatan, Tamanan, Banguntapan City: Bantul Province: D.I. Yogyakarta (DIY) Post Code: 55164 Country: Indonesia Phone: +62 274 563515, 511830, 379418, 371120

79°F Mostly cloudy

Search

ENG 10:33 PM 6/15/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x Re-upload review version 15706 x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMGHzLsFSCpScbWwBCZwbJgkB

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

lppi=uad.ac.id@edas.info

Min, 8 Mar 2020, 00:24

Inggris > Indonesia Terjemahkan pesan Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris x

Dear Mrs. Rini Sovia,

We confirm that you have successfully upload your paper #1570636682 entitled: Analysis of Sales Levels of Pharmaceutical Products by Using Data Mining Algorithm C45 for review in the 2020 1st Conference on Internet of Things and Embedded Intelligence. But, we give you an opportunity within 5 days to re-upload your review paper version. A high quality paper MUST has:

- A clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing --> explain in "Introduction" section
- The proposed solution(s)/method(s)/approach(es)/framework(s)/algorithm(s)
- Results achieved. It describes clearly what has been done before on the problem, and what is new.

The goal of this stage is to give you an opportunity to describe novel technical results.

There are four (4) types of technical results:

1. A theory: consisting of a collection of theorems.
2. An algorithm/method/approach/framework/ ;
3. A system construct: such as hardware design, software system, protocol, etc.;

The main goal of your revised paper is to ensure that the next person who designs a system like yours doesn't make the same mistakes and takes advantage of some of your best solutions. So make sure that the hard problems (and their solutions) are discussed and the non-obvious mistakes (and how to avoid them) are discussed.

4. A performance evaluation: obtained through analyses, simulation or measurements.

81°F Mostly sunny 8:53 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfctDHrs

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

[CITEI 2020] Notification for paper #1570636682 ('Analysis of Sales Levels of Pharmaceutical Products by Using Data Mining Algorithm C45')

Eksternal Kotak Masuk x

lppi=uad.ac.id@edas.info

Sab, 25 Apr 2020, 07:23

kepada saya, Abulwafa, Syafrî, Guslendra, Sarjon, ts

Announcement! Submit your camera ready paper strictly adhere the guide of authors (<http://bit.ly/template-citei2020>) before May 25, 2020. AUTHOR IS ENOUGH PAY JOURNAL PUBLICATION FEE, WITHOUT CONFERENCE FEE.

The organizing committee is aware that almost all participants are now facing travel restrictions and may have to cancel their schedule because of COVID-19. If any questions, please send to email: lppi@uad.ac.id

Dear Mrs. ,

81°F Mostly sunny 8:54 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlrs

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

Dear Mrs. ,

It is my great pleasure to inform you that your paper entitled #1570636682 (Analysis of Sales Levels of Pharmaceutical Products by Using Data Mining Algorithm C45) for 2020 1st Conference on Internet of Things and Embedded Intelligence (CITEI 2020) has been **ACCEPTED** for the CITEI 2020, which is planned to be held in Yogyakarta, Indonesia, between July 29th and 30th, 2020. Congratulations!

Due to Coronavirus (COVID-19) in many countries, CITEI committee have make a decision that your attending for direct oral presentation is not required. We hope that we can attend and meet for discussion by oral presentation in future event (in the 2nd CITEI 2021). However, you should prepare a video presentation for your paper, and upload it to YouTube (or other sites), and inform us your video link. State in your video that it is your presentation for "2020 1st Conference on Internet of Things and Embedded Intelligence (CITEI 2020)"

Then, your paper will be selected for possible publication in one of the Scopus indexed journals below: – Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (IJECCS) – IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI) – International JERNA of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE) (further review and minor revisions may be required)

Just for your information, we received many paper submissions, which are all peer-reviewed by vetted PC members and only high quality papers will be published. Please address the reviewers comments, appended below, and submit your camera ready paper adhere the guide of authors (<http://bit.ly/template-citei2020>) before May 25, 2020. The goal of your camera ready paper is to describe NOVEL TECHNICAL RESULTS. We will usually expect a minimum of 25 and 50 references (primarily to journal papers) for research/original and review paper respectively. Citations of textbooks should be used very rarely and citations to web pages should be avoided. All cited papers should be referenced within the body text of the manuscript. Failing to do proper preparing final camera ready paper may lead to the rejection of your paper (If authors have paid publication fee, we will return it).

81°F Mostly sunny Search ENG 8:54 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlrs

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

publication fee, we will return it).

If you reached problems for uploading your final camera ready paper through EDAS, please submit it to email: ijeecs.iaes@gmail.com, cc: ijai@iaesjournal.com. If you have any questions, please email to: ljpi@uad.ac.id.

I look forward to hearing form you

Best Regards,
Assoc. Prof. Tole Sutikno, Ph.D.
General Chair, CITEI 2020 Editor-in-Chief/Managing Editor/Principle Contact:

- Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (Scopus indexed journal)
- IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (Scopus indexed journal)

The reviews are below or can be found at <https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570636682>.

Full paper review 1

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Excellent (5)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.) its soundness and scientific rigour

81°F Mostly sunny Search ENG 8:54 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHrS

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

4 dari 1.528

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Solid work of notable importance. (4)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Significant original work and novel results. (4)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Well written. (4)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

1-The paper is very importance and timeliness of the topic addressed within its area of research.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

1-In abstract "This C45 algorithm will form a decision tree that provides users with knowledge about products that are in great demand by consumers based on sales data and predetermined variables.".My question is where are you have a sales data and predetermined variables? 2- What exactly is the novelty of your algorithm?

81°F Mostly sunny 8:54 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHrS

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

4 dari 1.528

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

1-In abstract "This C45 algorithm will form a decision tree that provides users with knowledge about products that are in great demand by consumers based on sales data and predetermined variables.".My question is where are you have a sales data and predetermined variables? 2- What exactly is the novelty of your algorithm?

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

1-the pictures have a low quality in resolution 2-give more details about Algorithm C45 in research method 3-Fig. 2 needs more explanation how it is related to your research 4-The authors are advised to revise the conclusion part highlighting the main outcomes of the study more specifically. 5-The English and the grammatical of the paper requires major revisions.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

accept

Full paper review 2

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Good (4)

81°F Mostly sunny 8:54 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlrs

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

accept

Full paper review 2

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Good (4)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Excellent work and outstanding technical content. (5)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

A pioneering piece of work. Striking novel ideas or results. (5)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Well written. (4)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The paper contribute to existing knowledge about classification and prediction using C45 algorithm

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 8:55 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlrs

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Well written. (4)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The paper contribute to existing knowledge about classification and prediction using C45 algorithm

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

Need to improve LR, need to improve results and discussion by adding more critical discussion based on the past literature studies.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

This paper has knowledge in the data mining field. However, some things need to be improved.

1. The method part needs to be refined as clearly describing each process.
2. Each process in the Flow chart needs to be reviewed according to its order.
3. Each parameter needs to be defined.
4. Result and discussion Section should be analyzed, compared and explained why for each result obtained. Not just a report.
5. Conclusions - need to highlight the advantages and weaknesses of the proposed method and future work.

At the end of the abstract just provide a line about what has been achieved in terms of results. therefore need to add more about final result

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 8:55 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlrS

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

At the end of the abstract just provide a line about what has been achieved in terms of results. therefore need to add more about final result

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

the paper in word documents. overall the paper is good

Full paper review 3

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Acceptable (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

4 dari 1.528

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 8:55 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlrS

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

Implementation of an data mining algorithm on a given dataset.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

1. Data source not validated
2. Data description not sufficient.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

Justification of selecting the Decision Tree algorithm

Justification of using the WEKA research tool

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

4 dari 1.528

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 8:55 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlRS

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

4 dari 1.528

PDF file and EDAS registration?

yes

Full paper review 4

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Little (2)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (2)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Substantial revision work is needed. (2)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

81°F Mostly sunny Search ENG 8:55 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlRS

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

4 dari 1.528

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The author of this paper can clearly state the problem and solution properly with good clarity.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

I do not consider this paper as an excellent work for the following reasons.

1. On page 4, "The classification process for each Sales table field" seems arbitrary to me. For example "Classification of Medicine Amounts", why did the author choose 1200 as a threshold? The conclusion of the model actually depend on how this classification is done.
2. The is no test data, and therefore, how can the author demonstrate the model trained in this paper is good enough?
3. The value of this paper seems minimal to me. Whether a medicine is in demand or not depend on a lot of factors, and the author only considered 4 factors in the model and only 20 different medicine. The result will not have much practical meaning.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

Please address the issues I listed in weak aspects.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

yes

81°F Mostly sunny Search ENG 8:56 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlrs

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

4 dari 1.528

yes

Full paper review 5

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Good (4)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Significant original work and novel results. (4)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

81°F Mostly sunny Search ENG 8:56 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlrs

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

4 dari 1.528

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The paper describes well the use of the data mining algorithm C4.5 for the analysis of pharmaceutical products.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

It was not clear how the related papers mentioned on the Introduction could be directed compared with the pharmaceutical products sales problem of this paper. The related papers presented should be more related data mining techniques applied to sales of pharmaceutical products.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

Using the same name for the algorithm in all paper. Not using C45 in some parts and C4.5 others. I recommend using the name C4.5.

I did not understand the sentence "[17] Maulani Kapiudin Head of System Planning Section STEJLJ. Teak wood No. 11 A Rawamangun East Jakarta" in the last paragraph from Introduction.

For future works, the authors could evaluate how effective were the C4.5 algorithm, the Entropy and the Gain search used.

This paragraph is confusing, please rewrite it: "Decision tree has two types of attribute data consisting of several input attributes of the target attribute and of course supporting the existing problem, its function as a comparison in calculating Gain and Ratio. In the training data set the sample size and must at least one target attribute whose value is a temporary conclusion of the problem of each instance (record), in this study the value of the target attribute is: bestsellers and less in demand."

When referring to the attribute values, use quotation marks. For example: "many" and "few". Also, do not change between "few" and "a few".

81°F Mostly sunny Search ENG 8:56 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlRS

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

4 dari 1.528

the value of the target attribute is: bestsellers and less in demand."

When referring to the attribute values, use quotation marks. For example: "many" and "few". Also, do not change between "few" and "a few".

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

Yes.

Full paper review 6

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Acceptable (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 8:56 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlRS

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

4 dari 1.528

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

Clearly written.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

There is an abundance of tables which would be easier to read if there was more highlighting of key findings. What exactly does this research add that was not available before?

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

Picture 2, 3, and 5 are difficult to read.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

Yes

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 8:56 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHrS

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

4 dari 1.528

Yes

Full paper review 7

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Little (2)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (2)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Substantial revision work is needed. (2)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The process of knowledge discovery in database is describe well, should have done similar for C4.5

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 8:56 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHrS

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

4 dari 1.528

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The process of knowledge discovery in database is describe well, should have done similar for C4.5.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

In a paper, there should be clear objective as to what you want to achieve and then you show the results whether you achieved that or not. In between, the process/method you use to achieve that, and what specific is your contribution to the research area. I find all these pieces missing in the paper. There should have been a brief explanation of C4.5 algorithm. Why you chose that algorithm? If it is used, there should have been more elaborate training data, missing attribute values etc to demonstrate true power of C4.5. What are the drawbacks of this method/ algo and what you plan to do in future research to address those?

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

The presentation is very weak. Should do spell check, and have proper formatting. It is very hard to read the paper and the figures are also not coming in properly. Should have exported to Pdf at least.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

Yes

Full paper review 8

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 8:56 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlRS

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

Full paper review 8

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Acceptable (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (2)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Substantial revision work is needed. (2)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

C45 data mining algorithm usage

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

81°F Mostly sunny Search ENG 8:57 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlRS

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

C45 data mining algorithm usage

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

Decision tree results

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

review results

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

yes

Full paper review 9

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Little (2)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

81°F Mostly sunny Search ENG 8:57 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for pap... x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlRS

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Mail

Chat

Spaces

Meet

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

4 dari 1.528

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Little (2)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (2)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Unacceptable. (1)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

I was able to see only two of its pages (?). The quality of text and figures was so bad. I recommend returning this manuscript to the authors and ask for providing us with the standard version.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 8:57 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for pap... x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlRS

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Mail

Chat

Spaces

Meet

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

4 dari 1.528

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

I was able to see only two of its pages (?). The quality of text and figures was so bad. I recommend returning this manuscript to the authors and ask for providing us with the standard version.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

I was able to see only two of its pages (?). The quality of text and figures was so bad. I recommend returning this manuscript to the authors and ask for providing us with the standard version.

Full paper review 10

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Acceptable (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 8:57 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlrS

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

4 dari 1.528

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

Paper is well written. Plagiarism or Similarity Index should be below 10. References should be in a consistent style and above 15 at least. Equation Editor should be used for writing Equations. Graphs should be sketched using Graph Tool. The Data linked with Graph should be shown as Table also. Figures should be sketched rather inclusion of Photographs. Grammar and Spelling check should be executed. Follow the Formatting standards of Template exactly. Technically this paper is Acceptable after the above corrections.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

Paper is well written. Plagiarism or Similarity Index should be below 10. References should be in a consistent style and above 15 at least. Equation Editor should be used for writing Equations. Graphs should be sketched using Graph Tool. The Data linked with Graph should be shown as Table also. Figures should be sketched rather inclusion of Photographs. Grammar and Spelling check should be executed. Follow the Formatting standards of Template exactly. Technically this paper is Acceptable after the above corrections.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted

81°F Mostly sunny Search ENG 8:57 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHlrS

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

4 dari 1.528

Formatting standards of Template exactly. Technically this paper is Acceptable after the above corrections.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

Paper is well written. Plagiarism or Similarity Index should be below 10. References should be in a consistent style and above 15 at least. Equation Editor should be used for writing Equations. Graphs should be sketched using Graph Tool. The Data linked with Graph should be shown as Table also. Figures should be sketched rather inclusion of Photographs. Grammar and Spelling check should be executed. Follow the Formatting standards of Template exactly. Technically this paper is Acceptable after the above corrections.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

Paper is well written. Plagiarism or Similarity Index should be below 10. References should be in a consistent style and above 15 at least. Equation Editor should be used for writing Equations. Graphs should be sketched using Graph Tool. The Data linked with Graph should be shown as Table also. Figures should be sketched rather inclusion of Photographs. Grammar and Spelling check should be executed. Follow the Formatting standards of Template exactly. Technically this paper is Acceptable after the above corrections.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

Paper is well written. Plagiarism or Similarity Index should be below 10. References should be in a consistent style and above 15 at least. Equation Editor should be used for writing Equations. Graphs should be sketched using Graph Tool. The Data linked with Graph should be shown as Table also. Figures should be sketched rather inclusion of Photographs. Grammar and Spelling check should be executed. Follow the Formatting standards of Template exactly. Technically this paper is Acceptable after the above corrections.

81°F Mostly sunny Search ENG 8:58 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHMsZgpCwRpCRHCnWJfcTDHrS

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

In order to cover part of the publication cost, each accepted paper is charged: US\$ 265. This charge is for the first 8 pages, and if any published manuscript over 8 pages will incur extra charges USD45 per page. -- AUTHOR IS ENOUGH PAY JOURNAL PUBLICATION FEE, WITHOUT CONFERENCE FEE --

The payment should be made by bank transfer (T/T):

Bank Account name (please be exact)/Beneficiary: TOLE SUTIKNO
Bank Name: Bank Negara Indonesia (BNI) Branch Office: UGM
Yogya, KCP SARJANA WIYATA City: Yogyakarta Country: Indonesia
Bank Account # : 0469076067 SWIFT Code: BNINIDJAXX or as an alternative, you can pay it by using PayPal to email:
tole@ee.uad.ac.id

Additional Information for Bank Account

81°F Mostly sunny Search ENG 8:58 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

lppi=uad.ac.id@edas.info Sab, 13 Jun 2020, 05:15 ☆ ↶ ⋮

kepada saya, Abulwafa, Syafril, Guslendra, Sarjon, Hendril, TH, Tole, ts ▾

ANNOUNCEMENT!! The organizing committee is aware that almost all participants are now facing travel restrictions and may have to cancel their schedule because of COVID-19.

Please refer to: <http://citei.intconference.org/list-of-accepted-papers-and-registration/>

Submit your camera ready paper strictly adhere the guide of authors (<http://bit.ly/template-citei2020>) before June 20, 2020. AUTHOR IS ENOUGH to PAY JOURNAL PUBLICATION FEE only, **WITHOUT CONFERENCE FEE**. You **MUST** prepare your **FINAL PAPER** seriously to fulfill as a qualified journal article (NOT ONLY as a conference paper). **NOTE:** Failing to do proper preparing final paper may lead to the rejection of your paper. IGNORE THIS EMAIL IF YOU HAVE COMPLETED ALL REQUIREMENTS.

Dear Mrs. Rini Sovia,

It is my great pleasure to inform you that your paper entitled #1570636682 ('Analysis of Sales Levels of Pharmaceutical Products by Using Data Mining Algorithm C45') for 2020 1st Conference on Internet of Things and Embedded Intelligence (CITEI 2020) has been **ACCEPTED** for the CITEI 2020, which is planned to be held in Yogyakarta, Indonesia, between July 29th and 30th, 2020. Congratulations!!

Due to Coronavirus (COVID-19) in many countries, CITEI committee have make a decision that your attending for direct **oral presentation is not required**. We hope that we can attend and meet for discussion by oral presentation in future event (in the 2nd CITEI 2021). However, you should

81°F Mostly sunny Search ENG 8:59 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

Dear Mrs. Rini Sovia,

It is my great pleasure to inform you that your paper entitled #1570636682 ('Analysis of Sales Levels of Pharmaceutical Products by Using Data Mining Algorithm C45') for 2020 1st Conference on Internet of Things and Embedded Intelligence (CITEI 2020) has been **ACCEPTED** for the CITEI 2020, which is planned to be held in Yogyakarta, Indonesia, between July 29th and 30th, 2020. Congratulations!!

Due to Coronavirus (COVID-19) in many countries, CITEI committee have make a decision that your attending for direct **oral presentation is not required**. We hope that we can attend and meet for discussion by oral presentation in future event (in the 2nd CITEI 2021). However, you should prepare a video presentation for your paper, and upload it to YouTube (or other sites), and inform us your video link (to email: lppl@uad.ac.id, cc: esperg@ee.uad.ac.id). State in your video that it is your presentation for "2020 1st Conference on Internet of Things and Embedded Intelligence (CITEI 2020)".

Editors have worked and your papers are selected for possible publication in one of the Scopus indexed journals below (further review and minor revisions may be required):

- Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (IJECS)
- IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI)
- International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)

Please take a look at: <http://citei.intconference.org/list-of-accepted-papers-and-registration/>

Just for your information, we received many paper submissions, which are all peer-reviewed by vetted PC members and only high quality papers will be published. Please address the reviewers comments, appended below, and submit your camera ready paper adhere the guide of authors (<http://bit.ly/template-citei2020>) before June 20, 2020. The goal of your camera ready paper is to describe **NOVEL TECHNICAL RESULTS**. We will usually expect a minimum of 25 and 50 references (primarily to journal papers) for research/original and review paper respectively. Citations

81°F Mostly sunny 8:59 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

- International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)

Please take a look at: <http://citei.intconference.org/list-of-accepted-papers-and-registration/>

Just for your information, we received many paper submissions, which are all peer-reviewed by vetted PC members and only high quality papers will be published. Please address the reviewers comments, appended below, and submit your camera ready paper adhere the guide of authors (<http://bit.ly/template-citei2020>) before June 20, 2020. The goal of your camera ready paper is to describe **NOVEL TECHNICAL RESULTS**. We will usually expect a minimum of 25 and 50 references (primarily to journal papers) for research/original and review paper respectively. Citations of textbooks should be used very rarely and citations to web pages should be avoided. All cited papers should be referenced within the body text of the manuscript. We strongly advise that you **USE ALL THE TIME ALLOCATED** to write-up and ensure that your final paper perfectly and strictly adhere the guide of authors and consider carefully the reviewers/editor's comments. **NOTE: Failing to do proper preparing final paper may lead to the rejection of your paper.** If author have paid the publication fee, we will return it. It is important to maintain reputation and quality of this event and our journals.

YOU SHOULD SUBMIT YOUR FINAL PAPER AND VIDEO PRESENTATION THROUGH EDAS SYSTEM.

Please refer to <http://citei.intconference.org/list-of-accepted-papers-and-registration>. If your paper is plotted for IJECS, IJ-AI or IJERE, please submit your payment receipt to: ijeecs.iaes@gmail.com, ijai@iaesjournal.com, or ijere@iaesjournal.com, respectively (It is enough to one of the emails as the plotting, not to all emails).

I look forward to hearing from you

81°F Mostly sunny 8:59 AM 6/16/2023

(2) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

I look forward to hearing from you

Best Regards,
Assoc. Prof. Tole Sulitkno, Ph.D.
General Chair, CITEI 2020 Editor-in-Chief/Managing Editor/Principle Contact:

- Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (Scopus indexed journal)
- IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (Scopus indexed journal)

The reviews are below or can be found at <https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570636882>.

Full paper review 1

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Excellent (5)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Solid work of notable importance. (4)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 8:59 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Significant original work and novel results. (4)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Well written. (4)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

1-The paper is very importance and timeliness of the topic addressed within its area of research.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

1-In abstract. "This C45 algorithm will form a decision tree that provides users with knowledge about products that are in great demand by consumers based on sales data and predetermined variables.", My question is where are you have a sales data and predetermined variables? 2- What exactly is the novelty of your algorithm?

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

1-the pictures have a low quality in resolution 2-give more details about Algorithm C45 in research method 3-Fig. 2 needs more explanation how it is related to your research 4-The authors are advised to revise the conclusion part highlighting the main outcomes of the study more specifically. 5-The English and the grammatical of the paper requires maior revisions.

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 8:59 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

3 dari 1.528

it is related to your research 4-The authors are advised to revise the conclusion part highlighting the main outcomes of the study more specifically. 5-The English and the grammatical of the paper requires major revisions.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

accept

Full paper review 2

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Good (4)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Excellent work and outstanding technical content. (5)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

A pioneering piece of work. Striking novel ideas or results. (5)

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 9:00 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

3 dari 1.528

Excellent work and outstanding technical content. (5)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

A pioneering piece of work. Striking novel ideas or results. (5)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Well written. (4)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The paper contribute to existing knowledge about classification and prediction using C45 algorithm

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

Need to improve LR, need to improve results and discussion by adding more critical discussion based on the past literature studies.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

This paper has knowledge in the data mining field. However, some things need to be improved.

1. The method part needs to be refined as clearly describing each process.

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 9:00 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

3 dari 1.528

This paper has knowledge in the data mining field. However, some things need to be improved.

1. The method part needs to be refined as clearly describing each process.
2. Each process in the Flow chart needs to be reviewed according to its order.
3. Each parameter needs to be defined.
4. Result and discussion Section should be analyzed, compared and explained why for each result obtained. Not just a report.
5. Conclusions - need to highlight the advantages and weaknesses of the proposed method and future work.

At the end of the abstract just provide a line about what has been achieved in terms of results. therefore need to add more about final result

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

the paper in word documents. overall the paper is good

Full paper review 3

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Acceptable (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 9:00 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

3 dari 1.528

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

Implementation of an data mining algorithm on a given dataset.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

1. Data source not validated
2. Data description not sufficient.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

Justification of selecting the Decision Tree algorithm

Justification of using the WEKA research tool

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 9:00 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

yes

Full paper review 4

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Little (2)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (2)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Substantial revision work is needed. (2)

81°F Mostly sunny 9:00 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

Substantial revision work is needed. (2)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The author of this paper can clearly state the problem and solution properly with good clarity.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

I do not consider this paper as an excellent work for the following reasons.

1. On page 4, "The classification process for each Sales table field" seems arbitrary to me. For example "Classification of Medicine Amounts", why did the author choose 1200 as a threshold? The conclusion of the model actually depend on how this classification is done.
2. The is no test data, and therefore, how can the author demonstrate the model trained in this paper is good enough?
3. The value of this paper seems minimal to me. Whether a medicine is in demand or not depend on a lot of factors, and the author only considered 4 factors in the model and only 20 different medicine. The result will not have much practical meaning.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

Please address the issues I listed in weak aspects.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

81°F Mostly sunny 9:00 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

PDF file and EDAS registration?

yes

Full paper review 5

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Good (4)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Significant original work and novel results. (4)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

81°F Mostly sunny 9:00 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The paper describes well the use of the data mining algorithm C4.5 for the analysis of pharmaceutical products.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

It was not clear how the related papers mentioned on the Introduction could be directed compared with the pharmaceutical products sales problem of this paper. The related papers presented should be more related data mining techniques applied to sales of pharmaceutical products.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

Using the same name for the algorithm in all paper. Not using C45 in some parts and C4.5 others. I recommend using the name C4.5.

I did not understand the sentence "[17] Maulani Kapiudin Head of System Planning Section STEJLJ. Teak wood No. 11 A Rawamangun East Jakarta" in the last paragraph from Introduction.

For future works, the authors could evaluate how effective were the C4.5 algorithm, the Entropy and the Gain search used.

This paragraph is confusing, please rewrite it: "Decision tree has two types of attribute data consisting of several input attributes of the target attribute and of course supporting the existing problem, its function as a comparison in calculating Gain and Ratio. In the training data set the sample size and must at least one target attribute whose value is a temporary conclusion of the problem of each instance (record), in this study the value of the target attribute is: bestsellers and less in demand."

81°F Mostly sunny 9:00 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Mail

Chat

Spaces

Meet

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Ter kirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

3 dari 1.528

sample size and must at least the target attribute whose value is a temporary conclusion of the problem of each instance (review), in this study the value of the target attribute is: bestsellers and less in demand."

When referring to the attribute values, use quotation marks. For example: "many" and "few". Also, do not change between "few" and "a few".

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

Yes.

Full paper review 6

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Acceptable (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 9:01 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Mail

Chat

Spaces

Meet

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Ter kirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

3 dari 1.528

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

Clearly written.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

There is an abundance of tables which would be easier to read if there was more highlighting of key findings. What exactly does this research add that was not available before?

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

Picture 2, 3, and 5 are difficult to read.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

Yes

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 9:01 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

Yes

Full paper review 7

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Little (2)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (2)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Substantial revision work is needed. (2)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

81°F Mostly sunny 9:01 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

- Berbintang
- Ditunda
- Terkirim
- Draf 6
- Selengkapnya

Label +

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

The process of knowledge discovery in database is describe well, should have done similar for C4.5.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

In a paper, there should be clear objective as to what you want to achieve and then you show the results whether you achieved that or not. In between, the process/method you use to achieve that, and what specific is your contribution to the research area. I find all these pieces missing in the paper. There should have been a brief explanation of C4.5 algorithm. Why you chose that algorithm? If it is used, there should have been more elaborate training data, missing attribute values etc to demonstrate true power of C4.5. What are the drawbacks of this method/ algo and what you plan to do in future research to address those?

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

The presentation is very weak. Should do spell check, and have proper formatting. It is very hard to read the paper and the figures are also not coming in properly. Should have exported to Pdf at least.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

Yes

Full paper review 8

81°F Mostly sunny 9:01 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for pap... x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

873 Kotak Masuk

Berbintang

Ditunda

6 Terkirim

Draf

Selengkapnya

Label +

3 dari 1.528

Full paper review 8

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Acceptable (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (2)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Substantial revision work is needed. (2)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

C45 data mining algorithm usage

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 9:01 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for pap... x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

873 Kotak Masuk

Berbintang

Ditunda

6 Terkirim

Draf

Selengkapnya

Label +

3 dari 1.528

C45 data mining algorithm usage

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

Decision tree results

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

review results

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

yes

Full paper review 9

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Little (2)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 9:01 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

3 dari 1.528

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (2)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Unacceptable. (1)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

I was able to see only two of its pages (?). The quality of text and figures was so bad. I recommend returning this manuscript to the authors and ask for providing us with the standard version.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

I was able to see only two of its pages (?). The quality of text and figures was so bad. I recommend returning this manuscript to the authors and ask for providing us with the standard version.

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 9:01 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

3 dari 1.528

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

I was able to see only two of its pages (?). The quality of text and figures was so bad. I recommend returning this manuscript to the authors and ask for providing us with the standard version.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

I was able to see only two of its pages (?). The quality of text and figures was so bad. I recommend returning this manuscript to the authors and ask for providing us with the standard version.

Full paper review 10

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Acceptable (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 9:02 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

Paper is well written. Plagiarism or Similarity Index should be below 10. References should be in a consistent style and above 15 at least. Equation Editor should be used for writing Equations. Graphs should be sketched using Graph Tool. The Data linked with Graph should be shown as Table also. Figures should be sketched rather inclusion of Photographs. Grammar and Spelling check should be executed. Follow the Formatting standards of Template exactly. Technically this paper is Acceptable after the above corrections.

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

Paper is well written. Plagiarism or Similarity Index should be below 10. References should be in a consistent style and above 15 at least. Equation Editor should be used for writing Equations. Graphs should be sketched using Graph Tool. The Data linked with Graph should be shown as Table also. Figures should be sketched rather inclusion of Photographs. Grammar and Spelling check should be executed. Follow the Formatting standards of Template exactly. Technically this paper is Acceptable after the above corrections.

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 9:02 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgxwHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

Paper is well written. Plagiarism or Similarity Index should be below 10. References should be in a consistent style and above 15 at least. Equation Editor should be used for writing Equations. Graphs should be sketched using Graph Tool. The Data linked with Graph should be shown as Table also. Figures should be sketched rather inclusion of Photographs. Grammar and Spelling check should be executed. Follow the Formatting standards of Template exactly. Technically this paper is Acceptable after the above corrections.

Submission Policy: Does the paper list the same author(s), title and abstract (minor wording differences in the abstract are ok) in its PDF file and EDAS registration?

Paper is well written. Plagiarism or Similarity Index should be below 10. References should be in a consistent style and above 15 at least. Equation Editor should be used for writing Equations. Graphs should be sketched using Graph Tool. The Data linked with Graph should be shown as Table also. Figures should be sketched rather inclusion of Photographs. Grammar and Spelling check should be executed. Follow the Formatting standards of Template exactly. Technically this paper is Acceptable after the above corrections.

IMPORTANT!! Please note that:

- A high quality paper MUST has:
 1. a clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing;
 2. the proposed solution(s); and

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 9:02 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

3 dari 1.528

1. a clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing;
2. the proposed solution(s); and
3. results achieved.

- The paper title summarizes the main idea or ideas of your study (title is "summary" and "essence" of your paper). Title should be "encompassing" as well as "descriptive". A good title contains the fewest possible words needed to adequately describe the content and/or purpose of your research paper. Rarely use abbreviations or acronyms unless they are commonly known.

For ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER type (should be presented with IMRaD model), there are four (4) types of novel technical results:

1. An algorithm;
2. A system construct: such as hardware design, software system, protocol, etc.; The main goal of your revised paper is to ensure that the next person who designs a system like yours doesn't make the same mistakes and takes advantage of some of your best solutions. So make sure that the hard problems (and their solutions) are discussed and the non-obvious mistakes (and how to avoid them) are discussed;
3. A performance evaluation; obtained through analyses, simulation or measurements; or
4. A theory: consisting of a collection of theorems.

Your revised paper should focus on:

1. Describing the results in sufficient details to establish their validity;
2. Identifying the novel aspects of the results, i.e., what new knowledge is reported and what makes it non-obvious; and
3. Identifying the significance of the results: what improvements and impact do they suggest. Number of minimum references for original research paper is 25 references (included minimum 20 recently journal articles within 5 years).

81°F Mostly sunny 9:02 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

3 dari 1.528

1. to organize literature;
2. to evaluate literature;
3. to identify patterns and trends in the literature;
4. to synthesize literature; or
5. to identify research gaps and recommend new research areas.

The structure of a review paper includes:

1. Title – in this case does not indicate that it is a review article.
2. Abstract – includes a description of subjects covered.
3. Introduction includes a description of context (paragraph 1-3), motivation for review (paragraph 4, sentence 1) and defines the focus (paragraph 4, sentences 2-3)
4. Body – structured by headings and subheadings
5. Conclusion – states the implications of the findings and identifies possible new research fields. References ("Literature Review") – organised by number in the order they were cited in the text. Number of minimum references for review paper is 50 references (included minimum 40 recent journal articles).

In order to cover part of the publication cost, each accepted paper is charged: USD 265. This charge is for the first 8 pages, and if any published manuscript over 8 pages will incur extra charges USD45 per page. AUTHOR IS ENOUGH PAY JOURNAL PUBLICATION FEE, WITHOUT CONFERENCE FEE.

81°F Mostly sunny 9:02 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

3 dari 1.528

FEE, WITHOUT CONFERENCE FEE.

The payment should be made by bank transfer (T/T):

Bank Account name (please be exact)/Beneficiary: **TOLE SUTIKNO**

Bank Name: **Bank Negara Indonesia (BNI)**

Branch Office: UGM Yogya, KCP SARJANA WIYATA

City: Yogyakarta

Country: Indonesia

Bank Account # : **0469076067**

SWIFT Code: BNINIDJAXXX

or as an alternative,
you can pay it by using PayPal to email: tole@ee.uad.ac.id

Additional Information for Bank Account

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 9:02 AM 6/16/2023

(1) WhatsApp x Akun Google x [CITEI 2020] Notification for paper x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox/FMfcgwxHNqFIPLCSNrMxFSRxCqgvplbW

Gmail

Telusuri dalam email

Aktif

99+ Mail

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

3 dari 1.528

Additional Information for Bank Account

Bank's detailed address:

BNI SARJANA WIYATA
Jl. Kusumanegara No.121, Muja Muju, Umbulharjo
City: Yogyakarta
Province: D.I. Yogyakarta (DIY)
Country :Indonesia
Post Code: 55167
Indonesia

The Name & Beneficiary's address:

Name of Beneficiary: TOLE SUTIKNO
Address: LPPI, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan
Kampus A Ilir, Ringroad Selatan Taman Bantulnangan

81°F Mostly sunny

Search

ENG 9:02 AM 6/16/2023

Gmail Telusuri dalam email Aktif

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 873

Berbintang

Ditunda

Terkirim

Draf 6

Selengkapnya

Label +

Province: D.I. Yogyakarta (DIY)
Country :Indonesia
Post Code: 55167
Indonesia

The Name & Beneficiary's address:
Name of Beneficiary: TOLE SUTIKNO
Address: LPPI, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan
Kampus 4, Jln. Ringroad Selatan, Tamanan, Banguntapan
City: Bantul
Province: D.I. Yogyakarta (DIY)
Post Code: 55164
Country: Indonesia
Phone: +62 274 563515, 511830, 379418, 371120