

Artikel 14

by Lpmi UPIYPTK

Submission date: 20-Nov-2023 09:30PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2234175030

File name: of_employee_motivation_and_cooperative_employee_performance.pdf (439.75K)

Word count: 4525

Character count: 25108

35

THE MODEL OF EMPLOYEE MOTIVATION AND COOPERATIVE EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE

Mulyani S.R., Sari V.N., Sari M.W.¹

36

Abstract: The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of leadership and compensation on motivation also its implications on the cooperative employee performance in Padang. The method used was descriptive - verificative with the type of survey. The object of the research was cooperation employees with the sample size to 330 respondents. Data analysis using the Structural Equation Model (SEM) with Linear Structural Relationship (LISREL) 8.80 program. The Results Showed that: 1) leadership and compensation have a significant positive effect on motivation. 2) Leadership, compensation, and motivation have a positive and significant impact on employee performance. The variables that dominant influence on performance was motivation, while the dominant influential variable on the motivation was compensation. Managerial implications based on the results of the research is to improve the employee performance in the cooperation in Padang district must throughs work employee motivation of cooperation in Padang regency the which is reflected in the high dimension of relatedness in a relationship with members, relationships with co-workers.

Keywords: leadership, compensation, motivation, and cooperative employee performance

DOI: 10.17512/pjms.2019.20.2.32

33
Article history:

Received August 12, 2019; Revised October 13, 2019; Accepted November 30, 2019

Introduction

Survival and Cooperation development is highly dependent on management. Good management will bring a positive impact on the Cooperation that Cooperation can grow rapidly. But poor management of the Cooperation will bring bad effects in the Cooperation and indirectly on the nation's economy. In line with the progress of time, Cooperation development does not match expectations. Contributions existence less can be felt directly by the people. Now the country's economic life is controlled by the big companies with the capitalist system.

Many factors can lead to reduced levels of growth, both the level of growth in the number of members, business volume and the level of net income (SHU) is achieved Cooperation in the district of Padang. Based on the results of a strategic plan study Cooperation and SME Padang District states that the general problems faced by Cooperation in the district of Padang, among others: Capital and financing

- Human Resources (HR)
- Management
- Facilities and infrastructure

42

¹ Sitti Rizki Mulyani, Marta Widian Sari, Universitas Putra Indonesia YPTK, Padang. Indonesia Vivi Nila Sari, independent researcher.

✉ Correspondent author: sitti_rizkimulyani@upiypk.ac.id

- Cooperation with businesses
- The development of superior products

In line with the above statement, (Telha, Rodrigues, Páscoa, & Tribolet, 2016) through their research that "Employee Performance is most important factor in an organization success, therefore, there is need to adopt effective human resources strategies that aim to improve employee performance and creates the culture of high performance in any organization based on human resource practices ". That the performance of employees is the most important factor in the success of the organization, therefore, there is a need to adopt an effective HR strategy that aims to improve employee performance and creating high performance in any organization is based on the practice of human resources.

Shahzadi, Javed, Pirzada et al (2014) through the research results stated that the significant and positive relationship between motivation and performance of employees. Hameed, Ramzan, Hafiz (2014) add the conclusion that the intrinsic rewards have a significant positive relationship with employee performance and motivation of employees.

Considering the research stating that leadership, compensation, and motivation work together and partially positive and significant impact on performance. Motivation is a process that needs to encourage a person to perform a series of events that lead to achievement particular purpose. If achieved will satisfy or fulfill those needs. Motivation is one of the tools to subordinate superiors willing to work hard and work smart by expected. Employees need to be motivated as there are new employees who want to work after their superiors motivated. The motivation arising from the boss called extrinsic motivation and motivation arising from the self-called intrinsic motivation. The motivation arising from self-will usually more durable than the motivation that comes from outside.

Mintrom & Norman (2009) and Šimanskienė & Župerkienė (2014) through their researches, concluded that leadership affects the relationship between employee participation and organizational performance. Findings from this study also showed a poor relationship between leaders and employees, so that the results of the study concluded that leadership can positively affect the performance of employees in the service sector. Then through research states that delegates are effective in organizations to improve employee performance and organizational performance in general. The findings of this research are also paramount in informing managers and employees that need a delegate and how to use delegation as management skills to improve employee performance. While the results of research conducted by Joo & Ready, (2012) concluded that leadership is useful to motivate the employees working in the organization.

Based on the three statements above, the lack of motivation and performance of employees of Cooperation in the district of Padang tend to be caused by the relative lack of good leadership.

Compensation the most fundamental of human resources (employees) that they redeem all their energy and their mind to get compensation. Compensation may

include salary, incentives, and benefits that can improve employee motivation and ultimately improve employee performance. Their sense of injustice of the employees will lead to things that are not good for achieving organizational goals. This is due to the gap between the expectations of employees with the fact that the organized obtained.

Literature Review

22

Beth Knight (2016) define leadership as a process whereby an individual influences a group of individuals to achieve a common goal. Leaders play an important role in helping groups and organizations to achieve their goal. After all the abilities and leadership skills in directing are important factors of leadership effectiveness.

Leadership is to ensure direction, alignment, and commitment to the team and organization (Mintrom & Norman, 2009).

Leadership is an important part of management, the statement in (Joo & Ready, 2012) that the leader as a person who can determine exactly what should be done and the manager is the one who can do it right all the duties and responsibilities. Another opinion expressed by (Andiyasari & Ardiningtiyas, 2010) which distinguish the management with leadership based on the functions and activities. Leadership deals with change mitigation, while management is concerned with countermeasures complexity. That is not the same as management leadership. Leaders determine where the direction of the business, internally and externally towards the goal. Leaders are strategists who set goals of the organization, while managers focus on ways to enable an organization to achieve its goals.

Based on the above, in this study is defined leadership a process to influence and support major changes in attitudes and assumptions of members of the organization to achieve organizational goals, Leadership is measured by four dimensions, namely:

Leadership orientates achievement (achievement-oriented), measured by indicators:

- Target jobs
- The high performance of subordinates
- Improved performance.

Leadership directive (directive), measured by indicator:

- Explanation tasks
- Information on how to duty
- Relations duties of employees
- Clarity instruction
- Monitoring subordinate task

Participative leadership (participative), measured by indicators:

- Togetherness task
- Cooperation

- Participation
- Work in a group
- Discussion

Leadership supportive (supportive), measured by indicators:

- Working interest
- Work atmosphere
- Giving opportunity
- Labor conflict
- Spirit at work

Platis, Reklitis, & Zimeras (2015) defines the compensation is "compensation as all forms of payments or rewards given to employees arise from their employment". Compensation is as any form of payment or benefits granted to employees arising from their employment. Compensation is one of the basic reasons for employees to find work. According to (Crane, Michael, & Weston, 2016) compensation is divided into two classifications, namely Performance-based pay and non-performance-based pay.

Then another classification of compensation as stated by (Ittner, Larcker, & Meyer, 2003) as follows: "Direct Compensation is the basic wage and Salaries, plus performance-based pay. Indirect compensation of health insurance programs, pay for time not worked and various other employee benefits ". Direct compensation consists of wages and salaries and other payments based on performance. Indirect compensation includes programs such as health insurance, payment of salary for not working and other forms of benefit (Rajiani, Bačík, Fedorko, Rigelský & Szczepańska-Woszczyzna, 2018).

Hirshleifer & Teoh (2003) suggest that compensation reminds the achievements of individuals, groups or organizations that may include: wage cuts (wage period), commissions, bonuses, for-profit and for production. Wage cuts (wage period) is the wage outcome is not satisfactory, because wages can only be set if the work can be measured according to a certain size, such as the number of times, the amount of weight, the amount of breadth by what to do.

Based on the above, this study is defined as compensation (award) directly or indirectly granted to employees based on the value of work, Contribution and employee performance. Compensation was measured in two dimensions, namely:

Dimensions direct compensation measured by several indicators, namely:

- Suitability of salary/wages
- Magnitude incentives
- The quantity of money eating (food) received
- The quantity of money transformation received.

Dimensions of indirect compensation measured by several indicators, namely:

- The magnitude of health benefits
- Holiday allowance
- Allowances completed work

- Provision of training
- The award of the leaders/organizational

Murphy, Benckendorff, & Moscardo (2010) motivation is a process that needs to encourage a person to perform a series of activities that lead to the achievement of certain goals. Motivation is defined by (Sharma, 2009) as the willingness to exert high levels of effort toward organizational goals, conditioned by the effort's ability to satisfy some individual needs. Three key elements in further definitions are provided as an effort, the organization's goals and needs.

Given Stanford (Heller Baird & Parasnis, 2011), motivation is a condition that drives man toward specific goals. Meanwhile, (Baird & Parasnis, 2011) define motivation is a stimulant desire and willingness to work one's locomotion. According to (Grant, 2012) states that motivation is a term used in organizational behavior to portray the forces within the individual, which describe the extent, direction, and persistence of effort expended on the job. While the opinion of (Webb, Bruton, Tihanyi, & Ireland, 2013) argues work motivation is defined as a condition affecting arousal, direction, and maintenance of behaviors relevant to the work setting.

Based on the description above theory, so in this study work motivation is defined as an employee's willingness to exert high levels of effort toward organizational goals, conditioned by the effort's ability to satisfy some individual needs, Motivation is measured by three-dimensional work, namely:

Dimensions existence measured by indicator:

- By salary requirements
- In accordance incentive need
- Suitability of allowances needs
- The certainty of continuity of work.

Dimensions relatedness measured by indicators:

- Relations with member
- Relationships with colleagues
- Relations with the board

Dimensions growth indicators:

- Recognition of the work
- The award is given for the work
- The opportunity to develop skills in the job

Malär, Nyffenegger, Krohmer, & Hoyer (2012) define performance as a function of the ability to do, the opportunity to perform and willingness to perform. Performance can be seen as the capacity of individual employees to perform the performance by the capacity, opportunity, and ability you want to show. While (Kotabe & Murray, 2004), the performance as a result of work-related to organizational objectives such as quality, efficiency, and other effectiveness criteria. It has been identified performance as the result of an activity which is one measure of individual performance.

Kamisah (2012) that the performance of an embodiment of the work done by the employee which is usually used as the basis for an assessment of the employee or the organization. The good performance is a step to the achievement of organizational goals. So that needs to be pursued efforts to improve performance. But this is not easy because many factors affect a person's high and low performance.

Kurtessis et al (2015) are defined as the achievement of the performance of a specific task as measured against a predetermined or standard identification accuracy, completeness, cost, and speed. (Style & Performance, 2015), the performance is defined as the results achieved from the action with the skills of employees who appear in some situations. Meanwhile, according to (Sutanto & Kurniawan, 2016), performance is a function of motivation and ability.

Based on the description above theory, so in this study is defined employee performance work results achieved on the ability of employees to carry out and complete the work by the responsibilities assigned to him by the criteria and objectives set by the organization. Employee performance is measured by the six dimensions, namely:

Dimensional quality of work measured by indicators:

- Suitability work with job readiness
- Suitability work with occupational standards

Dimensions quantity of work measured by indicators:

- Suitability of the work with the target job
- The accuracy of completion of work
- The completion of the work exceeds the standard time.

Dimensions of job knowledge measured by indicators:

- The level of knowledge in the work
- The skill level in the work
- Degree of caution in the work.

Dimension cooperation measured by indicators:

- Willingness collaboration with colleagues
- The willingness of cooperation with the leaders.

Dimension initiative measured by indicators:

- Initiatives carry out the work
- Initiatives find solutions to solve the problem
- Morale in executing new jobs

Dimensions personal qualities measured by indicators:

- Honest and responsible
- Loyal to the leadership
- Loyal to the organization

H1: There is a leadership that has an influence on work motivation in the Cooperation Employees in the district of Padang.

H2: There is compensation have an effect on work motivation in the Cooperation Employees in the district of Padang.

H3: There is the influence of leadership and compensation simultaneous on work motivation in the Cooperation Employees in the district of Padang.

H4: There is a leadership that has to influence on employee performance in the Cooperation Employees in the district of Padang.

H5: There is the influence of compensation on employee performance in the Cooperation Employees in the district of Padang.

H6: There is the influence of work motivation on employee performance in the Cooperation Employees in the district of Padang.

H7: There is the influence of leadership, compensation, and motivation simultaneous on employee performance in the Cooperation Employees in the district of Padang.

Research Methodology

The method used is the explanatory survey. Descriptive data collection in 2018 is done on 330 people Employees from 15 Cooperation enterprises in Padang District, the sampling technique using proportional random sampling. Data analysis was performed several sources, both secondary data and primary data, such as data unite employees to every question in the questionnaire, which revealed any questions about leadership, compensation, motivation, and employee performance, validity, and reliability using a sample of 30 employees outside of respondents, on the one question, which consists of 18 questions of variable leadership, compensation 9 questions, motivation and performance of employees 10 questions 16 questions. Structural analysis tools using Equation Model (SEM). The reason for choosing this method is due to its ability to measure the construct indirectly through indicators and simultaneously analyze the indicator variables and latent variables, and the relationship between indicators of latent variables, as well as the relationship between variables with other variables, together with the involvement of the measurement errors. The results of this study are expected to provide an overview of the clarity of the relationship and the magnitude of the influence of variables that are very useful to explore in detail the various factors which Dapa improve motivation and performance of employees provide a comprehensive understanding of the parties concerned to improve the quality of education in Indonesia, particularly in the district of Padang.

Results and Discussion

The full model SEM equation using 8.70 lisrel programs acquired two models of trajectory, which is the standard model diagram and model of the t-value, of each model shown in the following figure 1.




Figure 1. Hybrid models SEM (Standardized Coefficient)




Figure 2. Hybrid models SEM (t-value models)

Based on Figure 1 and Figure 2, above, then the following will be presented next test parameters and coefficients (loading factor on the model of exogenous) structural dimensions and endogenous variables. the test is intended to find a causal link or influence the latent variables to other latent variables, or each indicator of latent variables (constructs).

Leadership directly affects employee performance variables by 0,0576 or 5.76%. While leadership indirectly affects the work performance of employees through motivation is equal to 0.112, or 11.2%. thus leadership can improve employee performance indirectly through employee motivation.

The magnitude of the direct influence of the variable compensation to employee performance is equal to 0,0484 or 4.84%. While the contribution of the indirect effect of compensation on employee performance through motivation is equal to 0.204 or 20.4%. thus the compensation can improve employee performance indirectly through employee motivation.

The magnitude of the direct influence of the variable work motivation on employee performance variable is equal to 0.16 or 16%.

Referring to the test results, leadership and compensation variables directly affect the work motivation variable with a total contribution of 48%. whereas if through motivation, then the variable of leadership, compensation, and motivation will have a greater effect with a total contribution of 39% of the employee's performance,

Motivation has a dominant influence on employee performance, being the variable with the largest contribution than the variable compensation and leadership.

Furthermore, because of the leadership and compensation, partially or simultaneous with either directly or indirectly, has a positive effect both on the variable motivation and performance of employees, so if it refers to a type of mediation, work motivation variable in this study serve as variables in Full mediating the performance of teachers.

Conclusion

Leadership partially has a positive and significant impact on Employee Motivation Cooperation in the District of Padang. Partially compensation has a positive and significant impact on Employee Motivation Cooperation in the District of Padang. Leadership and Compensation simultaneously have a positive and significant impact on Employee Motivation Cooperation in the District of Padang. Leadership partially has a positive and significant impact on the performance of employees of Cooperation in the district of Padang. Partially compensation has a positive and significant impact on the performance of employees of Cooperation in the district of Padang. Motivation partially has a positive and significant impact on the performance of employees of Cooperation in the district of Padang. Leadership, compensation and work motivation simultaneously have a positive and significant impact on the performance of employees of Cooperation in Padang.

Managerial implications

Leadership Cooperation in the district of Padang in North Sumatra Province, mainly in the supportive dimension would be increased if the Cooperation can increase the compensation, especially in the dimension of direct compensation, and can increase motivation, especially on the dimensions of relatedness.

Employee performance Cooperation in the district of Padang in North Sumatra Province, especially in the dimension of cooperation will be enhanced if the Cooperation in the district of Padang can increase the motivation to work,

especially on the dimensions of relatedness, where the motivation will increase if the Cooperation can increase the compensation, especially in the dimension of direct compensation, can improve leadership especially on the dimensions supportive.

For increasing Cooperation employee performance, Cooperation must increase employee motivation. For increased employee motivation, Cooperation must improve leadership and compensation. In this research, just analysis the Cooperation in Padang. The research variable is leadership, compensation, motivation, and employee performance. The research period is from 2013 until 2018..

Other factors are affecting employee performance besides leadership, compensation, and motivation that is to be researched in this study. Because it is hoped to be researched more about the factors are in the future study.

References

- Andiyasari, A., & Ardiningtyas, P. (2010). Persepsi Kepemimpinan Otentik dan Work Engagement pada Generasi X dan Y di Indonesia. *Jurnal Universitas Paramadina*.
- Baird, C. H., & Parasnis, G. (2011). From social media to social customer relationship management. *Strategy & Leadership*, 39(5), 30–37.
- Crane, A. D., Michenaud, S., & Weston, J. P. (2016). The effect of institutional ownership on payout policy: Evidence from index thresholds. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 29(6), 1377-1408.
- Grant, A. M. (2012). Leading with meaning: Beneficiary contact, prosocial impact, and the performance effects of transformational leadership. *Academy of Management Journal*, 55(2), 458–476.
- Hameed, A., Ramzan, M., Hafiz, M., Kashif Zubair, M., Ali, G., & Arslan, M. (2014). Impact of compensation on employee performance. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 5(2), 302–309.
- Heller Baird, C., & Parasnis, G. (2011). From social media to social customer relationship management. *Strategy & Leadership*, 39(5), 30–37.
- Hirshleifer, D., & Teoh, S. H. (2003). Limited attention, information disclosure, and financial reporting. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 36(1-3), 337-386.
- Ittner, C. D., Larcker, D. F., & Meyer, M. W. (2003). Subjectivity and the weighting of performance measures: Evidence from a balanced scorecard. *The Accounting Review*, 78(3), 725-758.
- Joo, B. (Brian), & Ready, K. J. (2012). Career satisfaction. *Career Development International*, 17(3), 276–295.
- Kamisah, A. L. (2012). A Study on Stress Among Customer Service Officer and Executives in Central Region, Employees Provident Fund. *Universiti Utara Malaysia*. Retrieved from <http://etd.uum.edu.my/3016/>
- Kn B.. (2016). Competency Model for HR Professionals. *Intelligence*. Retrieved from <http://www.cisl.cam.ac.uk/graduate-study/master-of-studies-in-sustainability-leadership/pdfs/a-behavioural-competency-model-for-sustainability.pdf>
- Kotabe, M., & Murray, J. Y. (2004). Global sourcing strategy and sustainable competitive advantage. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 33(1), 7-14.
- Kurtessis, J. N., Eisenberger, R., Ford, M. T., Buffardi, L. C., Stewart, K. A., & Adis, C. S.

- (2017). Perceived organizational support: A meta-analytic evaluation of organizational support theory. *Journal of Management*, 43(6), 1854-1884.
- 5 Malär, L., Nyffenegger, B., Krohmer, H., & Hoyer, W. D. (2012). Implementing an intended brand personality: a dyadic perspective. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 40(5), 728-744.
- 25 Mintrom, M., & Norman, P. (2009). Policy entrepreneurship and policy change. *Policy Studies Journal*, 37(4), 649-667.
- 15 Murphy, L., Benckendorff, P., & Moscardo, G. (2007). Destination brand personality: Visitor perceptions of a regional tourism destination. *Tourism Analysis*, 12(5-6), 419-432.
- 13 Platis, C., Reklitis, P., & Zimeras, S. (2015). Relation between job satisfaction and job performance in healthcare services. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 175, 480-487.
- 1 Rajiani, I., Bačík, R., Fedorko, R., Rigelský, M., & Szczepańska-Woszczyna, K. (2018). The alternative model for quality evaluation of health care facilities based on outputs of management processes. *Polish Journal of Management Studies*, 17(1), 194-208.
- 3 Shahzadi, I., Javed, A., Pirzada, S. S., Nasreen, S., & Khanam, F. (2014). Impact of Employee Motivation on Employee Performance. *European Journal of Business and Management Online*, 6(23), 2222-2839.
- 24 Sharma, A. (2009). Implementing balance scorecard for performance measurement. *ICFAI Journal of Business Strategy*, 6(1), 7-16.
- 19 Style, L., & Performance, E. (2015). *Arabian Journal of Business and Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, 5(5), 1-6.
- Sutanto, E. M., & Kurniawan, M. (2016). The impact of recruitment, employee retention and labor relations to employee performance on batik industry in Solo city, Indonesia. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 17(2), 375-390.
- 23 Simanskienė, L., & Župerkienė, E. (2014). Sustainable leadership: the new challenge for organizations. *Forum Scientiae Oeconomia*, 2(1), 81-93.
- 9 Telha, A., Rodrigues, A., Páscoa, C., & Tribolet, J. (2016). The Competency Architecture as Error Limiting Element and Efficiency Enhancer in Business Processes. *Procedia Computer Science*, 100, 665-670.
- 8 Webb, J. W., Bruton, G. D., Tihanyi, L., & Ireland, R. D. (2013). Research on entrepreneurship in the informal economy: Framing a research agenda. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 28(5), 598-614.

MODEL MOTYWACJI PRACOWNIKA I WYDAJNOŚCI WSPÓLPRACY

Streszczenie: Celem tego badania było określenie wpływu przywództwa i wynagrodzenia na motywację, a także jego wpływu na wyniki pracowników spółdzielni w Padang. Zastosowana metoda była opisowa - weryfikacyjna z rodzajem ankiety. Przedmiotem badań była współpraca pracowników o wielkości próby do 330 respondentów. Analiza danych z wykorzystaniem modelu równań strukturalnych (SEM) z programem liniowej zależności strukturalnej (LISREL) 8.80. Wyniki pokazały, że: 1) przywództwo i wynagrodzenie mają znaczący pozytywny wpływ na motywację. 2) Przywództwo, wynagrodzenie i motywacja mają pozytywny i znaczący wpływ na wyniki pracowników. Zmienne, które dominująco wpływały na wyniki, to motywacja, natomiast dominującą zmienną wpływającą na motywację była kompensacja. Implikacje zarządcze oparte na wynikach badań mają na celu poprawę wyników pracowników we współpracy w dzielnicę

Padang, poprzez motywację pracowników do współpracy w renowacji Padang, co znajduje odzwierciedlenie w wysokim wymiarze pokrewieństwa w relacjach z członkami, relacjach z kopracownikami.

Słowa kluczowe: przywództwo, wynagrodzenie, motywacja i współpraca pracowników

员工激励模型与合作员工绩效

摘要: 本研究的目的是确定领导力和报酬对激励的影响, 以及它对巴东合作员工绩效的影响。使用的方法是描述性的-可据调查类型进行验证。研究的对象是合作雇员, 样本量为 330 名受访者。使用带有线性结构关系(LISREL) 8.80 程序的结构方程模型(SEM) 进行数据分析。结果表明: 1) 领导和报酬对动机有着显著的积极影响。 2) 领导, 薪酬和激励对员工绩效产生积极而重大的影响。影响绩效的主要变量是动机, 影响动机的主要变量是薪酬。基于研究结果的管理意义是要提高巴东地区合作中的员工绩效, 必须通过工作人员在巴东摄政区的合作动机, 这体现在与成员的关系, 与公司的关系中的高度关联性。-工人。

关键字: 领导, 薪酬, 激励和合作员工绩效

Artikel 14

ORIGINALITY REPORT

19%

SIMILARITY INDEX

13%

INTERNET SOURCES

10%

PUBLICATIONS

13%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	index.pubms.org Internet Source	1%
2	iupress.istanbul.edu.tr Internet Source	1%
3	core.ac.uk Internet Source	1%
4	epdf.tips Internet Source	1%
5	wrap.warwick.ac.uk Internet Source	1%
6	www.cgeui.eu Internet Source	1%
7	Ganesh Mangadu Paramasivam. "Role of self-efficacy and family supportive organizational perceptions in teachers' organizational citizenship behaviour", Asian Education and Development Studies, 2015 Publication	1%

8	Justin W. Webb, Christopher G. Pryor, Franz W. Kellermanns. "Household Enterprise in Base-of-the-Pyramid Markets: the Influence of Institutions and Family Embeddedness", Africa Journal of Management, 2015 Publication	1 %
9	nsuworks.nova.edu Internet Source	1 %
10	M Dawapa, N M Ginting, F C Situmorang, Darwis. "Relationship of social capital to the level of motivation of local farmers in optimizing the potential of sago starch farming", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2019 Publication	1 %
11	Submitted to Regenesys Business School Student Paper	1 %
12	vdoc.pub Internet Source	1 %
13	Submitted to Florida Institute of Technology Student Paper	1 %
14	www.europeanproceedings.com Internet Source	1 %
15	apmba.ub.ac.id Internet Source	1 %

16	Internet Source	1 %
17	Submitted to Business School Lausanne Student Paper	<1 %
18	www.aensiweb.com Internet Source	<1 %
19	Submitted to Brickfields Asia College Student Paper	<1 %
20	Submitted to Mesa State College Student Paper	<1 %
21	es.scribd.com Internet Source	<1 %
22	www.mgma.com Internet Source	<1 %
23	www.abacademies.org Internet Source	<1 %
24	ijoi-online.org Internet Source	<1 %
25	journals.ut.ac.ir Internet Source	<1 %
26	Submitted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Student Paper	<1 %
27	Submitted to University of Stellenbosch, South Africa	<1 %

28

journal.stainkudus.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

29

Submitted to Hamdan Bin Mohammed Smart University

Student Paper

<1 %

30

Jong-Hyeong Kim, Hanqun Song. "Examining the influence of multiple dimensions of authentic dining experiences", The Service Industries Journal, 2022

Publication

<1 %

31

S. Barinova, Na Liu, Jiyang Ding, Yonglei An, Xueming Qin, Chenxin Wu. "Ecological assessment of water quality of the Songhua River upper reaches by algal communities", Acta Ecologica Sinica, 2016

Publication

<1 %

32

etds.must.edu.mo

Internet Source

<1 %

33

journals.rudn.ru

Internet Source

<1 %

34

www.mianfeiwendang.com

Internet Source

<1 %

35

www.proquest.com

Internet Source

<1 %

36

Avinash D. Pathardikar, Kamlesh Kumar Maurya, Sangeeta Sahu, Ajai Pratap Singh. "Role-overload and self-esteem affecting teachers career satisfaction: Serial mediation through work engagement and job satisfaction", *Psychology in the Schools*, 2023

Publication

<1 %

37

repositori.usu.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

38

Fahed Abdullah Abdlazez, Alhashmi Aboubaker Lasyoud, AbdImutaleb Boshanna. "The relationship between Malaysian public-listed firms' corporate governance and their capital structure", *Corporate Ownership and Control*, 2019

Publication

<1 %

39

Submitted to Kenyatta University

Student Paper

<1 %

40

chss.uonbi.ac.ke

Internet Source

<1 %

41

Kusni Ingsih, Fransiska Dita Jamalia, Suhana Suhana. "Increasing OSH, Work Motivation, Employee Performance and Organizational Commitment of Hospital Employees", *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 2022

Publication

<1 %

42	sinta.ristekbrin.go.id Internet Source	<1 %
43	www.airitilibrary.com Internet Source	<1 %
44	www.cabmt.org.tw Internet Source	<1 %
45	www.swamfbd.org Internet Source	<1 %
46	www.theseus.fi Internet Source	<1 %
47	Abigail Lynam. "Chapter 22-1 How Worldview Development Influences Knowledge and Beliefs About Sustainability", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019 Publication	<1 %

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography Off